EXHIBIT 1-11

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 5
     ORACLE AMERICA, INC., )
 6
 7
                    Plaintiff, )
 8
                                ) No. CV 10-03561
              VS.
 9
     GOOGLE, INC.,
10
                    Defendant. )
11
12
13
          HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
14
15
16
17
          Videotaped Deposition of Jeet Kaul, taken at
18
     755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California,
19
     commencing at 9:28 a.m., Friday, August 5, 2011,
     before Ashley Soevyn, CSR 12019.
20
21
22
23
24
25
   PAGES 1 - 243
```

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	O the Com ID9	,	(6d
1 2	Q the Sun IP? A. You know I do not know of direct facts of	1	rest of the day. And if anything comes to mind, let
	A. You know, I do not know of direct facts of	2	me know, but we can we can move on.
3	whether that stuff was communicated to Google or	3	A. Yeah.
4	not.	4	Q. We don't have to just
5	Q. Regardless of what was communicated to	5	A. Right.
6	Google, are you aware of any facts that demonstrate	6	Q have you, you know, sit on the record 12:00:59
7	that Google knew it was infringing Sun's Java IP? 11:58:29	7	thinking.
8	A. You know, my fundamental perception is that	8	Prior to Oracle acquiring Sun, do you know
9	Google was an active participant of JCP. Many of	9	whether anyone from Sun ever told anyone at Google
10	Google employees were ex-Sun employees. All of them	10	that Sun believed Google was infringing Sun's
11	knew how we did licensing, what the core of it was,	11	intellectual property with Android?
12	how we built the platform, what files were involved.	12	MS. RUTHERFORD: Objection to form.
13	And so I jumped to conclusion that they knew that 11:58:55	13	THE WITNESS: So I categorically do not 12:01:36
14	this is happening, that this is the core business,	14	know that, but I was aware that I was made aware
15	so that's how I kind of jump to the conclusion, you	15	that there was some conversation like that that had
16	know. I knew many of these former Sun employees,	16	happened.
17	so	17	BY MR. PURCELL:
18	Q. Do you know whether any of the former Sun	18	Q. When you were made aware of some
19	employees that you're referring to, who went to	19	conversation like that that had happened, what
20	Google, worked on the Android project?	20	conversation were you made aware of?
21	A. At least one.	21	A. I don't know this for a fact. So I just 12:02:01
22	Q. Who is that?	22	want to make sure you know that. I I don't
23	A. It's Eric Chu.	23	remember who mentioned it to me, but I was told that
24	Q. Do you know what Mr. Chu did on the Android	24	there are people who had some conversation raised to
25	project, once he went to Google?	25	people at Google.
	98		100
1	A. I was told that he was responsible for the 11:59:30	1	Q. Do you recall roughly what timeframe this
2	open handset lines, formation of.	2	conversation between Sun and Google took place?
3	Q. Do you know whether Mr. Chu did any work in	3	A. I don't remember right now, I'm sorry.
4	developing the Android platform after he went to	4	Q. And you don't know who the participants 12:02:30
5	Google?	5	were for Sun or for Google in this conversation?
6	A. I don't know. And that's not my	6	A. Absolutely, I, unfortunately, do not
7	perception, but I don't know. 11:59:59	7	know.
8	Q. Have you told me every fact that you're	8	Q. During this conversation strike that.
9	aware of that contributed to your belief that Google	9	Is this one conversation that you were
10	knew it was infringing Sun's Java IP?	10	informed about the only conversation you're aware of
11	MS. RUTHERFORD: What he means is facts	11	that took place between Sun and Google, prior to the
12	that you didn't learn from counsel.	12	Oracle acquisition, regarding whether Android was
13	THE WITNESS: Oh.	13	infringing Sun intellectual property? 12:02:58
14	MR. PURCELL: No, if he learned a fact from	14	A. This was the only conversation that I'm
15	counsel, he can testify to that. He can't testify	15	aware of, you know, other than, you know, the one
16	to what counsel told him.	16	meeting that I had personally, yes.
17	THE WITNESS: So, you know, there are 12:00:30	17	
			Q. Well, let's hold off on the one meeting.
	things that I'm kind of not right now jump in my mind. Maybe, you know, if over time today they come	18	We'll get back to that.
18	ming. Maybe, you know, if over time today they come	19	During this conversation that you were
19		. /11	informed of, do you know whether anyone from Sun
19 20	to mind, I can share, but right now		
19 20 21	to mind, I can share, but right now BY MR. PURCELL:	21	identified any specific patents to Google that they
19 20 21 22	to mind, I can share, but right now BY MR. PURCELL: Q. Right now, nothing comes to mind?	21 22	identified any specific patents to Google that they claim were being infringed by Android? 12:03:23
19 20 21 22 23	to mind, I can share, but right now BY MR. PURCELL: Q. Right now, nothing comes to mind? A. No, no. I can spend some time thinking, if	21 22 23	identified any specific patents to Google that they claim were being infringed by Android? 12:03:23 A. Okay. It's, again, kind of hard. No, I
19 20 21 22	to mind, I can share, but right now BY MR. PURCELL: Q. Right now, nothing comes to mind?	21 22	identified any specific patents to Google that they claim were being infringed by Android? 12:03:23